

AUCD Conference Review Rubric

Directions- Please use the rubric below to rate the proposal from 1-5 using the evaluation criteria below. Please refer to the accompanying Evaluation Category Sheet for additional information about the 5 categories.

	Definitely Accept 81-100	Accept if Space 41-80		Don't Accept 0-40	
Evaluation Criteria:	Excellent 21-25	Good 16-20	Satisfactory 11-15	Fair 6-10	Poor 0-5
1. Interest to the AUCD Membership, Attendees, and the Disability Field as a Whole Max: 25 points	The proposal addresses issues of immediate relevance and importance to AUCD membership, attendees, and the field; and describes implications for the field as a whole.	The proposal is timely and appropriate and describes implications to the field.	The proposal makes reference to issues in the field over the last 5 years.	The proposal focuses on out-of-date materials or practice and information not specifically relevant to the field.	The proposal's focus is not relevant or of interest.
2. Effectiveness of Information	The aims of the presentation are	The aims of the presentation are	The aims of the presentation are	The proposal aims are not	The proposal does not state

<p>Delivery</p> <p>Max: 25 points</p>	<p>extremely clear, with a solid description of how the session will be conducted. Has high potential for engaging the audience.</p>	<p>well stated, and provide a clear description of how the session will be conducted. Will effectively engage audience.</p>	<p>adequately written, and provide a description of how the session will be conducted. Will likely engage audience.</p>	<p>clearly presented and the description of how the session will be conducted is not clear. Less likely to engage the audience.</p>	<p>the aims of the session and does not describe how the session will be conducted. It is unlikely to engage the audience.</p>
<p>3. Connection to the Values of the Network</p> <p>Max: 25 points</p>	<p>This proposal strongly promotes the values of the network.</p>	<p>This proposal positively reflects the values of the network.</p>	<p>The proposal references one or more values of the network.</p>	<p>This proposal does not adequately describe its relevance to the values of the network.</p>	<p>This proposal does not support the values of the network.</p>
<p>4. Proposal Follows the Guidance Provided, is Clearly Organized, and is Well Written</p> <p>Max: 25 points</p>	<p>The proposal format follows all elements of the guidance and is clearly organized and well written.</p>	<p>The proposal format generally follows the guidance and is somewhat organized and clearly written.</p>	<p>The proposal format loosely follows the guidance and is somewhat organized.</p>	<p>The proposal format follows only portions of the guidance and was difficult to follow.</p>	<p>Proposal format does not follow the guidance OR is poorly written.</p>