AUCD

LEND Program Quality Improvement (LPQI) Network: Tutorial for Program Directors and Administrators

Written by: Oksana Klimova Director of Web/IT Services AUCD

Contents

1.	Introd	uction	2
2.	Dictio	nary	3
3.	Key Ac	dministrative Contacts	4
4.	Prerec	quisite Requirements	4
5.	Step-B	By-Step Instructions for Using the LPQI Application	6
5	.1 A	Application Overview	6
	5.1.1	Dashboard	6
	5.1.2	Data Archive	7
5	.2 S	tep 1: Schedule (Set up T1, T2, and T3 data periods for current fiscal year)	7
5	.3 S	tep 2: Trainees: Enrollment in LPQI and Activities	8
	5.3.1	Trainee Annual Enrollment	8
	5.3.2	Trainee Activities	9
	5.3.3	Trainee Self-Report	10
5	.4 S	itep 3: Faculty	
	5.4.1	Faculty Annual Enrollment	12
	5.4.2	Faculty Activities	13
	5.4.3	Trainee Evaluation	15
5	.5 S	itep 4: Reports	17
	5.5.1	Report: Program / National Average	17
	5.5.2	Report: Average change over time	17
	5.5.3	Report: Program / National Effect Size	
	5.5.4	Report: Program / National Average by Variables	

1. Introduction

Goal: The goal of the LEND Program Quality Improvement (LPQI) Network is to help individual programs improve the quality of LEND training in core competencies (e.g. family-centered care, interprofessional teaming) by: (1) using standardized measurement tools, (2) developing a voluntary inter-institutional database, (3) providing feedback to programs to use for quality improvement, and (4) identifying programs that demonstrate changes consistent with "best practices."

Need: Most LEND programs currently use a variety of internal data to judge the effectiveness of specific training efforts. Measurement of trainee progress in core LEND competencies such as interprofessional teaming or leadership skills varies among the LEND programs, however, and there is no simple way to judge short-term program effectiveness except through documentation of trainee improvement within a LEND program. Although each program can demonstrate gains in trainee outcomes, it may be that some training methods are more effective than others. The current NIRS system appropriately tracks broad long-term outcomes for LEND trainees, but does not provide data to judge the effectiveness of specific training practices. The proposed LPQI Network would allow participating LEND programs to judge the effectiveness of components of their curriculum by comparison to national averages.

History: The LPQI Network began in 2013 when some LEND directors began to wonder how we could harness the power of the AUCD network to improve the quality of our training programs. At LEND director meetings in 2014, a majority of LEND programs participated in discussions of what tools to use and how to implement a national database, as well as the rule for transparency and data-sharing. In 2015 and 2016, four LEND programs implemented pilot studies using an established trainee self-report measure (CCM) and a newly developed faculty observation measure (I-FOR). The first pilot suggested that both instruments were generally acceptable to trainees and faculty. The second pilot was a year-long formal research study of the two measures, focusing on feasibility and the metrics of each instrument. An analysis of 80 trainee/faculty data points is currently underway.

All stages of the project were shared for input from the LPQI Advisory Group, which consists of more than 20 LEND leaders. In addition, LPQI pilot leaders shared updates at every LEND directors meeting and with MCHB leaders starting in 2014. The online Application was designed by AUCD staff with input and testing by LEND training directors and administrators. The entire system is linked to NIRS and is being piloted in a user-friendly format starting in 2016-17, with the goal of network-wide participation by all interested LEND programs in 2017-18.

Key Elements

- A. Participating LEND programs will use two common tools, one trainee self-report (CCM) and the second a faculty observation tool (I-FOR) to enter baseline and follow-up data on long-term trainees into a database maintained by AUCD and linked to NIRS (the "LPQI Application"). The first pilot year (2016-17) will focus on the core MCH competencies of interprofessional teaming and family-centered / culturally competent care. Leadership, advocacy, and other competencies can be added in the future.
- B. The "LPQI Application" will automatically analyze the data from participating LEND programs to determine change in baseline for each competency area (mean change and effect size) for both trainee self-report (CCM) and faculty observation (I-FOR). Each LEND program will have access to reports on their own program's performance. These reports will also include information so that LEND programs to compare their outcomes with aggregate national data. LEND programs will not have access to outcomes of other individual programs.

- C. Participating LEND programs will use each year's LPQI data reports to determine areas for improvement, devise and implement a quality improvement plan, and measure outcomes in subsequent years.
- D. AUCD will provide annual reports on national outcomes, analyzing aggregate data by trainee discipline, intermittent vs. continuous training, etc. AUCD will not identify scores or "rank" of individual LEND programs.
- E. Starting with data at the end of Year 2 (2017-18), AUCD will begin identifying the approximately 10-20% of LEND programs that have demonstrated relatively large change in each MCHB competency area during the last year. This list would be available to LEND programs looking for models to help with their QI efforts. AUCD would not rank programs, and would not publicize lists of programs.
- F. Participating LEND programs can voluntarily share their individual program outcomes with MCHB as part of their annual progress report. This will allow programs to put outcomes in context (e.g. high baseline scores of LEND trainees led to low change – "ceiling effect") and describe how they are addressing outcome data (e.g. QI plan).
- G. The LPQI Network is a technical assistance tool offered by AUCD to LEND programs. It is not endorsed by or required by MCHB.

2. Dictionary

T1 data period: Designated time (set by individual programs using the LPQI Application) in the beginning of the training year during which trainees report baseline knowledge and skills related to specific competency areas

T2 data period: Designated time (set by individual programs using the LPQI Application) several months into the training year during which faculty rate current knowledge and skills of trainees related to specific competency areas

T3 data period: Designated time (set by individual programs using the LPQI Application) in the end of the training year during which trainees report current knowledge and skills and faculty rate current knowledge and skills of trainees related to the same competency areas as T1 and T2, respectively

CCM: "Core Competency Measure"; trainee self-report measure developed by the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia LEND program, completed for interdisciplinary care (6 items) and family-centered / culturally competent care (8 items) as part of LPQI at T1 and T3

I-FOR: "Interdisciplinary and Family-Centered Care Observation Rubric"; faculty observation tool specific to the LPQI initiative that was originally adapted from competencies in the Pediatric Milestones Project and refined based on a review of MCH Leadership Competencies, completed for interdisciplinary care and family-centered /culturally competent care as part of the LPQI at T2 and T3

Fiscal Year

The definition of "Fiscal Year" for the LPQI Application will match the definition of "Fiscal Year" in NIRS; it begins July 1 and ends on June 30. Collected data from previous Fiscal Years will be available for review but will be locked for modifications to users with all security levels, with the exception of AUCD Administrators.

3. Key Administrative Contacts

For general Application or LPQI questions, please contact: Natalie Martinez Data Support Manager <u>nmartinez@aucd.org</u> or (301) 588-8252 ext. 221

If you have technical problems or need to report Application errors, please contact: Oksana Klimova Director, Web Services <u>oklimova@aucd.org</u> or (240)821-9378

4. Prerequisite Requirements

Before you begin using the LPQI Application, there are a few requirements your program must meet:

- The LPQI Application is based on NIRS Trainee and Faculty datasets. Be sure that your program is enrolled in the NIRS system. If you are not using NIRS, contact to Ben Kaufman (see "Key Administrative Contacts" above) for instructions on how to enroll.
- 2. Trainees dataset
 - a. All participating trainees should be entered in NIRS before you will be using LPQI application for current fiscal year.
 - b. The LPQI application uses two pieces of data from each Trainee profile (name and e-mail address) to communicate with Trainees during the two data collection periods where they must provide self-report data (T1 and T3). It is critical for communication purposes that these two fields (both are circled in the screenshot below) are complete in each Trainee profile even though the e-mail field is <u>not</u> listed as mandatory in NIRS.

ID #		
*First	Grant	
Middle		
*Last	Test	
Former Name		
*Academic Degree/Credential Achieved	BSN	~
	Other Please Specify	

*Address Line 1	13587 Main St.	
Address Line 2		
*City	Fairfax	
*State	Vermont	
*Country	United States	
*Zip/Postal Code	22307	
County of Origin	Unknown	
Primary Email	nmartinez@aucd.org	
Secondary Email		
Phone		

- 3. Faculty dataset
 - **a.** A Faculty profile can be added to LPQI at any time during the fiscal year before T2 data collection begins.
 - b. The LPQI Application uses following fields from NIRS Faculty accounts: name, e-mail address, and login information (all of which are mandatory in NIRS). With this information, the Application allows for administrators to communicate with Faculty during the data collection (trainee observation) periods and provides a password-protected environment for doing so.
 - c. Administrators and Program Directors will have "Admin" access levels. Faculty can be assigned any other access levels.

*Login	
*Password	
	Login and password must be at least 6 characters long. Letters, numbers, and symbols may be used. Not case sensitive.
*First Name	
*Last Name	
*University Email	
*Access Level	Admin
*Datasets	Trainees Projects Activities Products Goals Directory

4. Note that to be included in reporting, Trainees and Faculty should submit data for both the T1 and T3 (Trainees) or the T2 and T3 (Faculty) data periods. As a general rule, any submissions that are missing one data point or the other will not be included in calculations.

5. Step-By-Step Instructions for Using the LPQI Application

5.1 Application Overview

5.1.1 Dashboard



5.1.2 Data Archive



5.2 Step 1: Schedule (Set up T1, T2, and T3 data periods for current fiscal year)

Screenshot	≡ LPQI admin	AAA-Test Center; UCEDDLEND, fy 2017 (July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017)
	about Dashboard Lai Reports III Data Archive III Data Archive III Trainees CC Faculty III Scheduler	Bit Section: The section of the sec
Functional Description	Each prog three dat been spe 1. A th T	gram will set up its own schedule for the current fiscal year. All a collection periods (T1, T2, T3) will remain empty until dates have cified. Administrators can establish start and end dates for each of the hree data collection periods; these are unique to their program. The settings for each period can be edited and saved until it has



5.3 Step 2: Trainees: Enrollment in LPQI and Activities

5.3.1 Trainee Annual Enrollment



	the participant list.
Notes	To participate in the LPQI Program, a Trainee must first be enrolled in NIRS. Your data manager can add Trainee records into NIRS database current Fiscal Year at any time, but it is advised that these records be established before the Fiscal Year begins. If you do not see a specific Trainee listed in the left side list ("Trainee annual records in NIRS") please reference the instructions for how to add Trainee records in NIRS.

5.3.2 Trainee Activities



a) Trainee invitation to complete the CCM. Body text will contain a link to a publicly available individual start page (available during active data collection periods only) for T1 and T3. The URL will contain a unique Trainee ID number that will be captured in the LPQI Application upon CCM completion. The LPQI Application will not store identifiable data from this submission and therefore cannot recall or generate personal results (therefore, administrators will not be able to access individual Trainee answers). Administrators will only see whether a Trainee has completed the CCM or not, and reports will display only aggregated data.
b) Reminder about the approaching deadline for CCM completion.

5.3.3 Trainee Self-Report

Screensh	LEND Program Quality Impovement	LPQI		
οτ	AAA-Test Center, OCEDD/LEND, 19 2017 (July 1, 2016 - Julie 30, 2017)			
	PRE-TRAINING LEND CORE COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT	ABOUT LEND CORE COMPETENCY ASSESMENT		
	The following assumement folds are designed to help us assume how well we are found to take the one compo- tent of the start LHD program well and the start of the start byreg to develop took that we can use to compare how much argumes our forwards much assumed to have as at anime programs access the US. We will not share your names or any other identifying information with anyone outside our LDND program.	We ask each trainer to complete these tools, then most with their faculty supervisor for feed- back and to answer norm additional guestions about this process. (Please note that we are using "interdisciplicary" and "interprofessional" interchangeably for this project.)		
	Cheme Das biogrademone Team Fanity Collect Care Track You	Disclaimer: Center does not store identifiable data from this tool and therefore cannot necall or generate your personal results none you konve the site. The information bolow in neces- sary to organize aggregated data for compara- tive purposes only.		
	General Data	Questions?		
	Human check: Please type word "occoccocc" in the text box:	Phone: 123-123-1233		
		Email: emai@test.edu		
	How long will you be in the LENC training program?			
	Continue Survey > Clear form			
	PRE-TRAINING LEND CORE COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT			
	General Interdisciplinary/ Data Team Building Family Profe Team Building	ssional Policy/Leadership	Thank You	~
	Interdisciplinary/Interprofessional Team Building			
	Disagree: Little to no knowledge, shows interest in learning and gaining skills, primary focus is on my own perso	onal experiences and involvement		
	Somewhat Agree: Emerging skills and knowledge, beginning awareness, not confident in ability, growing under	standing of range of issues involved		
	Agree: Mastered basic skills, Feels confident in some abilities, willing to enter into challenging situations and exp Strongly Agree: Confident in broad range of skills, knowledge and ability: very skillful, can teach others, others i	eriences, aware of limits of my own knowledge/skills may look to me as a role model/example, consistently cons	iders systems approach	
	Goal II Achievement of the knowledge, skills & attitudes needed to support an interdisciplinary team process Please rate how much you agree with each statement.			
	A) I have knowledge of the different characteristics of health care professions (e.g., developmental pediatrics	s, audiology, physical Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree
	therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language pathology, psychology, social work, nursing, health care adm including assessment tools and methods, developmental domains, and intervention strategies commonly add disciplines.	inistration, dentistry), pressed by the respective	0	0
	B) I am able to identify complementary areas of expertise, as well as overlapping areas of expertise among the second	he respective pediatric Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree
	disciplines.	0	0	0
	C) I understand ways in which to foster strong collaborations with health care professionals from different d	isciplines. Disagree	Somewhat Agree	Agree
	aa 94 teeto 80	0	0	0
	Note: The screenshot above does not show	all questions or choic	es.	



Functiona l Descripti on	Self-The CCM for T1 and T3 will be available for Trainees whenever a data collection period is active. Once the data collection period ends, Trainees will see a message that the survey is closed.
on	Title for T1 CCM will be: "Pre-Training LEND Core Competency Assessment"
	Title for T3 CCM will be: "Post-Training LEND Core Competency Assessment"
	The CCM includes: 1. Page requesting general information from the Trainee 2. Page with Interdisciplinary Teaming questions 3. Page with Family-Centered Care questions 4. Page with Policy/Leadership questions 5. Thank you page
	Note that all questions require an answer. Trainees cannot move to the next page without selecting an answer for each question.

5.4 Step 3: Faculty

LPQIadmin Screenshot Faculty Annual Enrollment e e Notes: You can aid NRS thouly profile to LPCI portal at any time. If faculty profile already exist in NRS. If there is no faculty profile in NRS, you can create it directly from the isopathy of the profile and the include and the include in NRS as INACTIVE member, and will not be include in NRS as the profile. If faculty submitted trainee evaluation, faculty could not be removed from LPQI Faculty list. 68 Faculty/Staff members in NIRS Faculty/Staff members in LPQI Portal for 2017 Massie, Robert Gaiman, Neil Offil, Jenny Pullman, Philip Travers, P. L. Smiley, Jane Tolleran, Mark, Roberts, Kellie Spitz, Michael Warden, Karen Select All Functional Each Fiscal Year, the program's administrator will add a cohort of Faculty Description members into NIRS; in the LPQI Application, this list will auto-populate on the left side of the "Faculty Annual Enrollment" page (see screenshot above). The administrator can move Faculty to and from the LPQI participant list on the right. When a Faculty member completes the I-FOR at any point, their

5.4.1 Faculty Annual Enrollment

record gets locked	and their name cannot be removed from participant list.
If at any point a Fa during Fiscal Year, records have beer removed from the	aculty member's record is deleted from the NIRS database , their submitted records will stay in the system. If no n submitted yet, that Faculty member will be automatically e participant list.
If a Faculty memb add one by clickin administrator will are mandatory fie • First name • Last name • E-mail	er does not have a NIRS entry, the administrator can easily g button "Add New Faculty" in the LPQI Application. The be required to complete three fields in the form. Those lds for the Faculty profile in NIRS:
Values for login/u created automatic	sername, password, admin level, and datasets will be cally:
Login: Password: I Access Level: I Datasets:	First letter of the First Name + Last Name Last Name + random combination of 3 digits from 1 to 9 Read/Write Own Trainees



Screenshot	≡	LPQI admin		Test Cen	er, UCEDI	WLEND, ty 2	:017 (Jul	ly 1, 2016 - Jun	e 30, 2017)				John E
	680		Fac	ulty A	ctivities	6							
	Last		Home	/ Facul									
	⊞											QUICK EMAIL	
	Ø			Select to						Evaluation Submi	T3	Lorem ipsum de	olor sit amet, consec
	48	Faculty 🗆		select all	Faculty w Name	Manage Trainees	Trainee ##ID	Trainee Name	Email	completed	active	sodales sed, dig	nissim pretium nune
	-				Offit, Jahny	(remove trainest	2110	Matthew, Mark	bogus@aol.com	~		Faculty Recipien	t(s)
		Activities				(remove traines)	71785	Stevens, Jim	testCon20@aucd.org	\checkmark	~	Subject Line	
	-				Smiley, Jane	(assign trainee)s (remove trainee)			janesmiley@gmail.com	1			
						(remove trainee)	74992	McGinty, Greg Jahanson, Ous	crep@test.org	~	~	Content	
					Massie, Robert	(assign trainee)s	a		massieR@yahoo.com				
						(remove trainee	68188	Bellamy, Frank	test345@yshoo.com	×			
												Or select tem	plate: V Email Reset

₽	OBSERVATION SUBM	ISSION STATUS TOTAL	FACULTY: 3	John Doe Masha Rastyrasha Grant Test Lorenzo Test				
	Faculty Name	Manage Trainees	Trainee #ID		Assign	r Selection	T3 active	A Quick Email Recipient
	frias, jen	[assign trainee(s)]			jennifer@modernsignal.com			
21			102313	Doe, John	oksana.klimova@gmail.com		~	
			99733	Rastyrasha, Masha	oklimova@aucd.org		~	
	Martinez, Natalie	[assign trainee(s)]			nmartinez@aucd.org			
	Chan Cana				oklimova@aucd.org			

Functional Descriptio

Administrators will be able to assign all participating Trainees to one (and only one) participating Faculty member. By clicking on the "Assign Trainee(s)" link, the administrator will open a new window of a smaller size; it will list all Trainees enrolled in the LPQI Application for the current Fiscal Year. Trainee(s) who were already assigned to other Faculty member(s) will have "—" next to their names. Trainee(s) who still need to be assigned to a Faculty member will have checkbox next to their names. To assign a Trainee to a Faculty member, the administrator will check as many boxes as appropriate and click the "Assign" button. Those assigned Trainees will then show up in the table above below a Faculty member's name – each on their own row. That row will have the Trainee's personal information (name, e-mail, ID number), a "Remove Trainee" link, and indicators of whether or not the Faculty member has completed an I-FOR for that Trainee during a specific data collection period.

Quick E-mail Tool:

By selecting checkboxes, administrators can add Faculty e-mail addresses into the "Faculty Recipient(s)" field. Administrators can select one of the e-mail templates or send a custom e-mail by manually typing text into the subject line and content fields.

LPQI will provide two templates:

a) Faculty invitation to complete the I-FOR for their assigned Trainees. Body text will contain a link to the NIRS/LPQI login page.

Reminder about the approaching deadline for completing the I-FOR for their assigned Trainees; this will also contain a link to the NIRS/LPQI login page.

5.4.3 Trainee Evaluation

My Activities	s: Observation Rubric					
EVALUATIO	IN: GRANT TEST					
Interdiscip Interprofe Team Buil	olinary/ ssional ding	Family-Professiona Partnerships	~	Policy/Leadership		Thank You
INTERDISCEP Interdisciplina foundational is The LPQI Facu For each of the	INNEY/INTERPORESIONAL TAM BUILDING synteeprophetasions Tam Building is Comparency 10 look of till, ed abarnood sills tara re expected of MCH leaders: by Tem developed examples of what 9° and 9° and 9° are for a dimensions below, passe select the option that best reflect 1	12 total) in the Alaternal and Child He the IPIOTB Oncerdisciplinary Anterprofi Is how the trainee is currently doing in 1.5	ath (ARCP) Leadership Competencies, Version 4.01ver assional Team Building) scales to help complete the IAT this competency area across all observable activities. 2	wes published by the federal Hea OR. The Team encourages you to 2.5	hth Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) review those examples. Review Examples > 1	in 2018. Click here to see a form
A	Does not yet understand other disciplines an significance	nd their	Beginning to Linderstand general roles, responsibilities, and scope of practice of other discipanes		Identifies and assemblies team members w knowledge and skills appropriate to a given tas clinical, research, and policy challenges)	th k (e.g.
-	O Does noc yet: participate in interdisciplinary e	C	O Beginning to participate in interdisciplinary activity	0	Participates actively in interdisciplinary active excellent team player	O
	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Does not yet recognize the need to use term accessible to other disciplines	insiogy	Beginning to understand the value of and sometimes employ terminology accessible to othe disciplines		Adjusts terminology to meet the needs of te members	am
My Activities: Observations None Up contents EVALUATION: GRANT 1 Interprofessional Terms Relations	ation Rubric :	Tamily. Professional Partnerships	Perig	y∕t.oadetship	TT YC	lank U
My Activities: Observo Jame My Annaka EVALUATION: GRANT 1 Interdisciplinary/ Interprofessional Team Building PARTY PROFESSIONAL IN Family Profession Perform advanced bills the are spor dwanced bills the are spor the LPQ Facility Team device	ation Rubric : TEST TEST Program Company Floot of 12 tests in the Alexandrawd Ch TMOT Instead spedie dumpiese of what a "3" and a "4" are for the 1791 (Sami) andow, pleses selects the option that best reflects how the test	Family Professional Partnerships Nir Alweith (ACH) Leadershy: Competen y Professional Tennenthy J states to h mee is currently doing in this competen	Policy roles. Hersion 4.0% is not published by the federal He ap complete the 150%. The Team encourages you to it cy area acress all observable activities.	y/Loadership eth Resources and Services Admi eview those examples. Texicey Es	TT V Ve Internation (HRSA) in 2016. Circk here (to set a form amples >	currels Q al definition, as well as the relation
My Activities: Observa New Use reconst EVALUATION: GRANT 1 Interdisciplinary Interprofessional Four Building Many-Indesional Pathen Science Solid Science Solid The LPQ Faculty Team Science For each of the dimensions S	ation Rubric : TEST Magin is Compensative Slove of 12 trials in the Alternan and Ch scale of MOI index : speak exempted whether a "3" and a "4" are for the IPP (Sams) addew, please select the option that set reflects how the trial ations of the select set option that set reflects how the trial ation at the select set option that set reflects how the trial at the select set option that set reflects how the trial at the set of the set of the set of the select set of the select set of the set of	Family-Professional Sarrherships NV Heath /MCH (Leadershy: Competer Net Heath /MCH (Leadershy: Competer y Professional Interesting Jacates to In net is currently doing in this competer 13 Begioneg en Individa	Policy notes, Harsian 4 (Policy was published by the Indensi Hei alp complete the IADD. The Team encourages you to in cy area arcress all observable activities or you area arcress all observable activities. To replace the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the activities area of the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the activities area of the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the activities area of the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the activities area of the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the activities area of the IADD. The Team encourages you to in the IADD. The IADD. The Team encourages you to in the IADD. The IADD. The Team encourages you to in the IADD. The	y/Leadership eth Resources and Services Admi eview those examples. Review So 25 Sectors and design a service	Internation (HRGA) in 2016. Cluck here to see a form amplies a g mgeareast individual/bandy input in the rid delivery of clucus or public heatin L, programs, materials, and research	Lunds of disfinition, as well as the relate as disfinition, as well as the relate inded schwig schwi
My Activities: Observations EVALUATION: GRANT 11 EVALUATION: GRANT 11 Interdecipinary Interprofessional Frantis-Professional Pathener advanced will studie the observations The UPG Ready Team Acet For each of the dimensional B	ation Rubric TEST Test Test The Source of the second of th	ramily, Professional Partnerships SII Hash /KOG Loodenhip Compete y Protessowi Paneership scales to A tes is surrently skillig in this competer 15 Is Begioning on Degioning excess	Policy Po	v/LeadersShip eth Resources and Services Adm eview those examples. Review Bo 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	Intervention (HREA) in 2018. Chick here to see a form amplies > 3 The control of chicks or public here to see a form of deflering of chicks or public hereits programmental individualitiently input in the of deflering of chicks or public hereits or programmental and research or the chick and formation of the theory of the theory of the deficiency of sectors report hereits for the deficiency of sectors to report hereits for the deficiency of sectors to report resources.	Unit k 20 al definition, as well as the related 155 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
My Activities: Observa Norm: Up Activities: Observa EVALUATION: GRANT 1 Interdecipinary Interprofessional Randy-Measure Patrices Activity Apol(SSICMA), PAL Fandy-Measure Patrices advanted skills the are exp advanted skills the are exp advanted skills the are exp advanted skills and	ation Rubric TEST	Tamily, Professional Partnerships NV Anath ANOS Leadership Competer y Professional Remembing Jacates to A tamilar Anathania 1.5 Beginning Beginning Scott	Point Po	v/Leaders/hip eth Resources and Services Adm eview those examples. Review Ba 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	Interaction (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 2 3 Interaction click click here to see a form amplies > 2 0 11 to evolve the second second second second click here to see a form 12 to evolve the second s	Unit k NJ 2.5 2.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
My Activities: Observa Pare 1 bi spinale EVALUATION: GRANT 1 Interdisciplinary Interprofessional Teamy-Interprofessional Teamy-Interprofessional Teamy-Interprofessional Teamy-Interprofessional Teamy-Interprofessional Territy Frances Territy Frances Terri	ation Rubnic. TEST	Ramity Professional Partnerships NV Anath / MOI Leadership Competer y Professional Ramento ji states to A to Pegionag Begionag C C Begionag Rices C	Period Period	v/Leadership eth Resources and Services Admi eview those examples. Review Ex 25 25 Consider services in Consider Services in Consider Servin Consider Services in Consider Servic	Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Click here to see a form amplies > 3 Intervetion (HRSA) in 2018. Cli	Unit k U U U U U U U U U U U U U



5.5 Step 4: Reports

The "Reports" section contains four unique reports. All data reports can be saved as an accessible .pdf document and/or printed.

Screenshot	Control Con
Functional Description	Comparison of program average score on each measure with national average at T1 and T3 for CCM, and T2 and T3 for I-FOR; data from all reports can be stratified by training year and cumulative years for visualization purposes.
	 Program average = Individual LEND program average score for all trainees on each measure (I-FOR Interprofessional Teaming, I-FOR Family-Centered Care, CCM Interprofessional Teaming, CCM Family-Centered Care) at T1 and T3 for CCM, and T2 and T3 for I-FOR National average = Program average score on each measure for all participating programs at T1 and T3 for CCM and T2 and T3 for I-FOR

5.5.1 Report: Program / National Average

5.5.2 Report: Average change over time



Functional Description	Comparison of average change over time (program) with average change over time (national) for each measureCalculations / Formula:
	Average change over time (program):
	Program average score on CCM at T3 minus program average score on CCM at T1 (and same for I-FOR at T3 minus T2)
	Average change over time (national):
	National average score on CCM at T3 minus national average score on CCM at T1 (and same for I-FOR at T3 minus T2)



5.5.3 Report: Program / National Effect Size

5.5.4 Report: Program / National Average by Variables

Screenshot	
Functional Description	 Program average, national average, and comparison on each measure by variable at T1 and T3 for CCM, and T2 and T3 for I-FOR Trainee Variables: Discipline Highest degree obtained Time in program One-year vs. multiple-year